

United States Patent and Trademark Office

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/725,938	12/03/2003	Holger Hoppe	543822002400	4491
25227	7590 12/28/2006		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			NGUYEN, VINH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Webban, va			2829	
HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/725,938	HOPPE, HOLGER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINH P. NGUYEN	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims		•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left of the second sheet of the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in Actionity documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) ☐ Intensiew	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

1. The proposed drawing correction filed on 10/18/2006 is acceptable.

2. Claims 7,13,16,18,20-22 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 7, it is unclear how the testing time frame is determined less than 2 second after loading of the carrier with the semiconductor chip. Which device is used for determing this testing time frame.

In claim 13, it is still unclear what "a loading chip" comprises of. Is it shown in any of drawings? Is this loading chip the same as "the semiconductor chip"?

. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 16,18,20-22, it appears that the limitation of "used for testing the functioning of the semiconductor chip" and "used during ordinary operation of the semiconductor chip" would not further limit the scope of the invention but it is considered as intended use and this limitation is not given any patentable weights.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-24,26,28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuda (Pat # 4,730,156).

As to claims 1,12-13,26,28-29, Matsuda discloses in figure # 2 an apparatus for testing contacting between a semiconductor chip and a carrier having a carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d) for

Art Unit: 2829

coupling to a semiconductor chip (10a,10b,10c,10d) and a plurality of contacting test contacts (162a,162b,162c,162d) for exclusively testing the contacting between the semiconductor chip and the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d). It is noted that the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d) is considered as a "test carrier" since it is connected to a detection circuit (30,32,34,36) and the semiconductor chip is directly contacted with the contacts (14) of the test carrier (16a,16,16c,16d).

As to claims 2 and 14, it appears that the carrier (162a,162b,162c,162d) electrically coupled to a testing apparatus (30,34,32,36).

As to claim 3, it appears that the carrier is connected to the testing apparatus and the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d) is subsequently loaded with semiconductor chip (10a,10b,10c,10d).

As to claim 4, it appears that the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d) is inherently loaded at a carrier loading station and the contacting between the carrier and the semiconductor chip is tested before the carrier is transported to a further station after all tests are done.

As to claim 5, the contacting between the carrier and the chip is tested by the testing apparatus (30,32,34,36).

As to claim 6, the device of Matsuda is configured to test the contacting between the carrier and the semiconductor chip but not functioning of the chip.

As to claim 7, performing the contacting between the carrier and the semiconductor chip being tested by the testing apparatus (34,30,32,36) less than 2 seconds after loading of the carrier with the chip is considered as an inherent function of the testing apparatus (30,32,34 and 36).

As to claims 8-9, the contacting testing between the carrier and the chip is determined whether an electric contact has been established between a corresponding pad (104a,106a,104b,106b,104c,106c,104d,106d) and the assigned pads (162a,164a,162b,164b,162c,164c,162d,164d) of the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d).

As to claim 10, it appears that there is a current flowing through the corresponding chip pad to the testing apparatus (30,34,32,36) in order to trigger the indicator (36) for indicating the electric contact between the chip and the carrier.

As to claim 11, when the contact between the semiconductor chip and the carrier is properly connected, the indicator (36) is lit up, that means there is an amount of voltage dropping across the chip pad and that voltage is determined by the indicator (36).

As to claims 15 and 19, it appears that some of the contacting test contacts (see figure 2, test contacts on the upper left corner of the chip (10a,10b,10c,10d) are not used during ordinary operation of the chip.

As to claims 16,18,20-22, Matsuda also disclose additional contact (104 or 106).

As to claims 17 and 21, the contacts (104a, 106a,104b,106b,104c,106c,104d,106d) are not used for testing the functioning of the chip during contacting test between the semiconductor chip and the carrier.

As to claims 23-24, Matsuda discloses one or more contacting test contacts (104a,106a,104b,106b,104c,106c,104d,106d) are provided on a bottom of the chip (10a,10b,10c,10d).

Application/Control Number: 10/725,938 Page 5

Art Unit: 2829

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Matsuda (Pat # 4,730,156) in view of Farnworth (Pat # 6,369,595).

As to claims 25 and 27, Matsuda et al disclose an apparatus for testing

contacting between a semiconductor chip and a carrier. However, the carrier (16a,16b,16c,16d)

of Matsuda et al is not a TSOP test carrier.

Farnworth et al teach that it would have been well known to have the TSOP socket (31)

(see column 8, lines 6-8).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that the carrier

(16a,16b,16c,16d) of Matsuda et al is a TSOP test carrier as taught by Farnworth et al since this

type of the socket is well known in the art for supporting a certain IC package.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tran (pat # 6,472,891) disclose method and apparatus for testing semiconductor packages without damage.

Ellwood et al (Pat # 3,573,617) disclose method and apparatus for testing packaged integrated circuit.

Kang (pat # 6,323,669) discloses apparatus and method for a contact test between an integrated circuit device and a socket.

Application/Control Number: 10/725,938

Art Unit: 2829

Page 7

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1964.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, HA T. NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-1678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VINH P NGUYEN

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2829

12/20/00